

Reconsidering “Conservation Reconsidered” : Innovations in Academic Thought

Panelists

Charles J. Cicchetti, USC & PEG

Raymond J. Kopp, RFF

Juha Siikamäki, RFF

Outline of What's to Come

- A Little Background
- One Gauge of Impact
- Our Panel
- Plan for Session

Background

- Highlights of Paper
 - Changed Natural Structure of Models for Choice
 - Challenged Concept of “Consumption”
 - Identified Effects of Interactions Between Irreversibility and Uncertainty
 - Altered Vocabulary of Project Evaluation



Background

- Highlights of Paper
 - Changed Natural Structure of Models for Choice
 - Challenged Concept of “Consumption”
 - Identified Effects of Interactions Between Irreversibility and Uncertainty
 - Altered Vocabulary of Project Evaluation



Background

- Highlights of Paper
 - Changed Natural Structure of Models for Choice
 - Challenged Concept of “Consumption”
 - Identified Effects of Interactions Between Irreversibility and Uncertainty
 - Altered Vocabulary of Project Evaluation



Background

- Highlights of Paper
 - Changed Natural Structure of Models for Choice
 - Challenged Concept of “Consumption”
 - Identified Effects of Interactions Between Irreversibility and Uncertainty
 - Altered Vocabulary of Project Evaluation



Background

- Highlights of Paper
 - Changed Natural Structure of Models for Choice
 - Challenged Concept of “Consumption”
 - Identified Effects of Interactions Between Irreversibility and Uncertainty
 - Altered Vocabulary of Project Evaluation



Academic Impact of “Conservation Reconsidered”

Citations by Decade

Decades	Percentage of Total
1960 – 1970	0.5
1970 – 1980	13.7
1980 – 1990	19.5
1990 – 2000	30.4
2000 – 2010*	35.9

* Estimated based on current citation rates from 2000-2004

A Few Words About the Panel

- Academic Children of Krutilla – Charles and me
- Grandchild – Ray
- Great Grandchild - Juha

Structure

- Ask each panelist to offer 10 minutes
- Solicit Comments/questions from floor
- Each panelist gets a final shot